UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,510	09/29/2005	Karoly Tihanyi	23394	6075
535 K.F. ROSS P.C	7590 02/04/200 •	EXAMINER		
5683 RIVERDA			SIMMONS, CHRIS E	
SUITE 203 BOX 900 BRONX, NY 10471-0900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/551,510		TIHANYI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRIS E. SIMMONS	1612	

	CHRIS E. SIMMONS	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Fixtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the control of the c	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions and series and series and series are series.	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying t					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAN(T. OR OTHER FOURTHOE		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	hoforo or on the date of filing a No	atica of Appeal will not	t ha antarad				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Frederick Krass/	/C. E. S./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	Examiner, Art Unit 1612						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed to add new claims 18-30 to recite the limitation "consists of: (a) 15 parts by weight of tolperisone; and (b) 3 parts by weight of dextromethorphan, together with a pharmaceutically acceptable inert carrier. This limitation was not previously considered in regard to the compositions of claims 6-17, and further analysis would be required to determine whether the composition in the new claims would have been been obvious over cited art. Additionally a further search would also have to be made to determine the state of the art with regard to this issue.

Additionally, it would appear that new matter is introduced by the new claims. Applicant has cited pg. 11, line 22 to page 12, line 5, especially page 11, line 28 as support for the new amendment. However, the examiner notes 2 issues with regard to the introduction of new matter by the amendment: 1) The cited passages of the instant specification does not seem to adequately support a composition consisting of tolperisone, dextromethorphan and an inert carrier - "an inert carrier" does not appear to be in the passages cited; and 2) the cited passages of the specification does not appear to adequately support a composition consisting of 15 parts tolperisone and 3 parts dextromethorphan by weight.

Similarly, claims 22-30 seem to have the same issues. The narrow composition claimed and the amounts do not seem to appear in the specification.